ED 463 478 CG 031 639

AUTHOR Codding, Robin S.; Lewandowski, Lawrence; Gordon, Michael

TITLE Examining Executive Functioning in Boys with ADHD.

DOCUMENT RESUME

PUB DATE 2001-08-00

NOTE 16p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American

Psychological Association (109th, San Francisco, CA, August

24-28, 2001).

PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS *Attention Deficit Disorders; Behavior Problems; Behavior

Rating Scales; Compliance (Psychology); *Diagnostic Tests;

Elementary Education; Elementary School Students;
*Hyperactivity; *Males; *Measurement Techniques; Self

Control

IDENTIFIERS *Functioning Level Testing

ABSTRACT

This study examined executive functioning (EF) in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) boys ages 6-12 on a parent-report measure from Barkley's model. Mothers of 40 boys (20 with ADHD-HI or ADHD-C, and 20 without ADHD) completed the ADHD Symptom Checklist (ADHD-SC4), Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL-P), School-Home Information Profile (SHIP), and Children's Inhibition and Executive Function Scale (ChIEFS). Significant differences were found between groups for all measures, with the ChIEFS total score being the most discriminating index of ADHD (97.5%). The ChIEFS factors were highly intercorrelated and related to total score, suggesting they were all measuring the same construct. Executive functions may tap one's ability to control oneself, whether that involves control of motor, memory, attention, motivation, or planning functions. Executive functions also seem to be important in assessing characteristics of ADHD, and could prove useful in conjunction with current diagnostic instruments. (Author)



Running Head: EXECUTIVE FUNTIONING

Examining Executive Functioning in Boys with ADHD

By Robin S. Codding, M.S. & Lawrence Lewandowski, Ph.D.

Syracuse University

Michael Gordon, Ph.D.

SUNY Upstate Medical University

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Research and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION

- CENTER (ERIC)

 This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- ☐ Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Abstract

This study examined executive functioning (EF) in ADHD boys ages 6-12 on a parent-report measure developed from Barkley's model (1997). Mothers of 40 boys (20 with ADHD-HI or ADHD-C, and 20 without ADHD) completed the ADHD Symptom Checklist (ADHD-SC4), Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL-P), School-Home Information Profile (SHIP), and Children's Inhibition and Executive Function Scale (ChIEFS). Significant differences were found between groups for all measures, with the ChIEFS total score being the most discriminating index of ADHD (97.5%). The ChIEFS factors were highly intercorrelated and related to total score, suggesting they were all measuring the same construct. Executive functions may tap one's ability to control oneself, whether that involves control of motor, memory, attention, motivation, or planning functions. Executive functions also seem to be important in assessing characteristics of ADHD, and could prove useful in conjunction with current diagnostic instruments.



Executive Functions in Boys with ADHD

A recent survey of the ADHD literature has suggested that in addition to attentional issues, which have long been considered characteristic of ADHD, executive function deficits also play a role. Tannock (1998) described in a review article, that executive functions characterized by poor self-regulation and behavioral inhibition have been indicated as cardinal impairments in ADHD. Cognitive and neurobiological models have attempted to re-conceptualize the disorder, the most comprehensive of which is Barkley's (1997a, 1997b, 1998). Yet, no studies have attempted to test this model directly, in its entirety, in children.

Research has demonstrated that children with ADHD have cognitive characteristics such as slow inhibitory processes, working memory deficits, delays in internalization of speech, poor self-regulation of emotion and motivation, and difficulty analyzing and synthesizing behavior. These deficiencies may make it difficult for children with ADHD to stop impulsive behaviors, to perform mental arithmetic or complete chores on time, follow parents' and teachers' rules, delay gratification, and engage in creative problem solving. Barkely's theoretical model illustrates how cognitive characteristics of ADHD relate to one another and to behavioral inhibition. This model postulates that behavioral inhibition is the primary deficit associated with ADHD and has a direct effect on behavior. Behavioral inhibition is operationalized by three functions, (1) inhibition of prepotent (dominant) responses (2) interruption of ongoing responses (3) interference control (protection of mental action from disruption). The latter two facets of behavioral inhibition are thought to be the source of perseveration and distractibility, respectively, that are associated with ADHD.



Deficits in behavioral inhibition also lead to secondary deficits in four executive functions: nonverbal working memory, internalization of speech (verbal working memory), self-regulation of affect/motivation/arousal, and reconstitution. Nonverbal working memory is defined by Barkley (1997c) as holding events in mind to guide later behavior and is comprised of two interacting processes, retrospective function (remembering past events) and prospective function (anticipating the future). These two interacting processes constitute hindsight and foresight, respectively. Children with ADHD that exhibit deficits in nonverbal working memory likely have difficulty with temporally organizing and monitoring their behavior. For example, children may have difficulty completing homework, household chores, or other tasks in a timely manner. Therefore, the immediate environment will influence behavior in children with ADHD rather than information learned in the past or future. This is evident when ADHD children engage in risky behavior or are unable to remember past consequences to their actions.

Inefficient internalization of speech, also considered by Barkley (1998) as the verbal working memory system, may be found with children who have ADHD.

Operations associated with this system include self-description and self-reflection, self-questioning and problem-solving, rule-governed behavior (self-instruction), self-generated rules and meta-rules, reading comprehension, and moral reasoning and guidance of behavior.

Self-regulation of affect, motivation, and arousal is the third system that Barkley (1997b, 1997c, 1998) suggested may be impaired in children with ADHD. The operation of this system allows overt emotions directed at others to become self-directed and then



made covert. Emotion is considered a motivational state in which motivation progresses from operating as an overt to a covert function. As motivation becomes covert, intrinsic motivation, or persistence, is created.

The last executive function defined by Barkley is reconstitution. Two interacting processes also characterize this system namely, analysis and synthesis.

Analysis is defined as taking old behavior apart and synthesis is conceptualized as recombining its units. Reconstitution is manifested in verbal and nonverbal fluency, rule creativity, goal-directed problem solving and the sequencing of behavior.

Deficits in the behavioral inhibition and executive function systems are manifested in everyday behaviors that can be observed and rated by parents who are sensitive to these actions. Specifically, executive function defects have an indirect effect on behavioral output, therefore making cross-temporal, goal-directed, and future-oriented behavior less evident in children with ADHD, which can be observed and rated by parents who are sensitive to these actions.

The purpose of the present study was to examine questions related to Barkley's theory (1997b, 1997c, 1998) of ADHD utilizing an adapted version of Barkley's self-report rating scale for adults that has been modified for children (parent-report format). The intention of the current study was two-fold: (1) to assess executive function problems associated with ADHD in comparison to typical children as purported by Barkley's theoretical model; (2) and determine whether support for Barkley's model can be identified using the ChIEFS. Children with ADHD, and children without any psychopathology were compared on a parent-report version of Barkley's measure to assess whether executive function problems are associated with ADHD as proposed by



Barkley's model. We attempted to determine whether the ChIEFS represented Barkley's model as separate constructs or a single construct. Lastly, the purpose of this study was to identify, preliminarily, whether executive functions provide additional information about ADHD that is not assessed using the DSM-IV or other behavior rating scales (e.g., CBCL/4-18).

Method

Participants

Forty mothers of boys between the ages of 6 and 12 years served as participants. Twenty boys were diagnosed as having ADHD-HI or ADHD-C and twenty typical boys who did not meet criteria for a psychiatric disorder, LD, ADHD or other impairments were included. Participants were recruited from area physicians, school districts, ADHD parent groups, and clinic settings.

All boys were required to meet the following criteria to be included in the study: (1) obtained a Full Scale $IQ \ge 80$; (2) be absent of pervasive developmental disorders, hearing or vision impairments, physical challenges, documented brain injury or any other neurological disorders; (3) be placed in a regular education classroom (resource support acceptable); (4) do not have a documented learning disability; and (5) typical children should not have ADHD or other psychiatric disorders

To be included in the ADHD group, boys met the following criteria: (1)

Diagnosed with ADHD-Combined Type or ADHD-Hyperactive-Impulsive Type by a trained clinician who utilizes DSM-IV criteria; and (2) obtained a criterion score that is positive for ADHD on the ADHD-SC4 (Gadow & Sprafkin, 1997).



For the ADHD group, the average age of the boys was 8.61 (SD = 1.80) and the average grade level was 3.25 (SD = 1.89). The ethnic composition of the boys in the ADHD group was as follows: 70% were Caucasian, 15% were biracial, and 5% were Hispanic. Ninety percent of the boys with ADHD were taking medication.

For the typical group, the average age of the boys was 8.02 (SD = 1.65) and the average grade level was 2.60 (SD = 1.67). All of the boys in the typical group were Cacuasian.

Materials and Procedure

Participants were required to complete a packet that contained three questionnaires and were presented in the following order: (a) the School-Home Information Profile (SHIP); (b) Children's Inhibition and Executive Function Scale (ChIEFS); the ADHD Symptom Checklist (ADHD-SC4) (Gadow & Sprafkin, 1997); and (d) the Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL-P).

Measures

The SHIP, a 41-item questionnaire, contains two sections designed to acquire demographic and school information from mothers. The school information section contains 26-items (e.g. has trouble completing schoolwork on time; performance on tests and homework varies) and asks mothers to rate their sons on a scale from 0 (never/rarely), 1 (sometimes), 2 (often), 3 (very often), or don't know.

The ChIEFS is a 48-item parent report measure designed to test Barkley's (1997) model of ADHD that assesses behavior associated with 5 executive functions:(1) behavioral inhibition (e.g. children's ability to remain on task; make decisions impulsively), (2) working memory (e.g., have difficulty planning ahead; trouble with



mental arithmetic), (3) self-regulation of emotion/motivation (e.g. put off things until the last minute; become easily frustrated and angry), (4) reconstitution (e.g., ability to learn new activities; explain things in sequence), (5) motor control (e.g., has poor handwriting; is uncoordinated). Mothers were required to rate their children's behavior on a scale from 0 (never/rarely), 1 (sometimes), 2 (often), and 3 (very often).

The ADHD-SC4 (Gadow & Sprafkin, 1997) is a commonly used rating scale that screens for ADHD and Oppositional Defiant Disorder (ODD). This scale was used to confirm ADHD-HI and C diagnoses based on normative criteria.

The parent-report form of the CBCL/4-18 (Achenbach, 1991) is a commonly used questionnaire that measures children's behavioral and emotional functioning. For this study, mean comparisons (t-tests) between the ADHD and Typical groups using the obtained t-score from the Attention Scale of the CBCL/4-18 were computed. The Attention Scale was also used in a discriminant analysis to determine its sensitivity in diagnosing the two groups studied.

Results

Reliability

Test-retest reliability for the total score was adequate for the ChIEFS and the SHIP with reliability coefficients of .98 for both measures. Test-retest reliability coefficients for the subtest scores on the ChIEFS ranged from .86 (Reconstitution) to .97 (Self-Regulation of Emotion).

Group Comparisons

Group differences were examined on the SHIP, ChIEFS, the ChIEFS subtests, and CBCL-P using t-tests for independent samples (2-tailed), where equal variances were not assumed. Levene's Test for equal variances was significant, indicating heterogeneity of



variance between the ADHD and Typical groups. Normal probability plots and examination of skewness and kurtosis statistics indicated that the ChIEFS and SHIP scores for the ADHD and Typical groups both were normally distributed. Table 1 illustrates highly significant differences between the ADHD and typical groups on all measures.

Correlations

Table 2 illustrates the relationship between the ChIEFS, the SHIP, and the CBCL-P Attention Problems Scale. Pearson-product moment correlations for the Attention Problems Scale and the ChIEFS Total score was .86, p < .01. The strength of this relationship suggests that these scales may be measuring similar constructs.

Examination of the relationship between the ChIEFS and the SHIP also reveals considerable overlap between measures, r (N = 40) = .92, p < .01, suggesting that using both measures during an assessment may provide redundant information. Table 2 also illustrates the relationship between the ChIEFS total and subscale scores (range = .89 to .98), the relationship between the subscales with each other (range = .80 to .95), and the relationship between the Attention Problems scale of the CBCL-P/4-18 (Achenbach, 1991) with the ChIEFS total and subscale scores (range = .80 to .86).

Discriminant Analysis

A discriminate analysis was conducted to examine the ability of the ChIEFS, the SHIP, and the CBCL/Attention Problems Scale to separate children who had been diagnosed with ADHD from typical children. Out of the three measured examined the ChIEFS performed the best as this scale was able to discriminate groups with 97.5% accuracy and making only one error (see Table 3). The CBCL/Attention Problems Scale



performed only slightly worse than the ChIEFS scale. Interestingly, although in isolation the SHIP did not perform as well as the ChIEFS or the CBCL/Attention Problems Scale, when all three measures were examined together groups were discriminated with 100% accuracy.

Discussion

Preliminary support was provided for Barkley's (1997) theoretical model implicating executive function differences in children with and without ADHD. Boys with ADHD appear not only to have symptoms of inattention, hyperactivity, and impulsivity, but also have symptoms that are associated with "control processes" such as working memory, motivation, regulation of emotion, motor control, and behavioral inhibition. All of the EF factors on the ChIEFS seem very sensitive to difficulties of ADHD boys, however, the factors correlate highly with one another and the total score of the ChIEFS. While it is clear that these executive function scales are sensitive to ADHD, it is unclear whether the logical factors are empirically differentiable from each other and the total score. It may be that the ChIEFS measures a general construct of "self control" rather than separable executive functions.

The ChIEFS did appear to provide additional information regarding the symptoms of ADHD to some degree. The results from the discriminant analysis indicated that the ChIEFS total score is the best predictor of group membership in this study (97.5%), followed by the CBCL-Attention Problems scale (95%), and the SHIP (92.5%). However, the ChIEFS, SHIP, and CBCL-Attention Problems scale are highly interrelated and share similar questions rated by the same person. Therefore it seems that there is considerable redundancy in these measures. Given that the CBCL is well



established, we suggest using it as a diagnostic instrument for ADHD, and including more executive functions items in the next revision of the CBCL. It is possible that an executive functions scale such as the ChIEFS may help corroborate a diagnosis or offer descriptive information for purposes of treatment.

Further research is needed to test Barkley's EF model. Items and factors need to be based on empirical performance rather than logical or theoretical inclusion. Specifically, factor analytic and validity studies should be conducted. Until executive functions are better delineated as a construct or set of constructs, such measures will remain in the development stage.



References

- Achenbach, T. M. (1991). Manual for the child behavior checklist/4-18 and 1991 profile. Burlington, VT: University of Vermont Department of Psychiatry.
- Barkley, R. A. (1997a). ADHD and the nature of self-control. New York: The Guilford Press.
- Barkley, R. A. (1997b). Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, self-regulation, and time: Toward a more comprehensive theory. Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics, 18, 271-279.
- Barkley, R. A. (1997c). Behavioral inhibition, sustained attention, and executive functions: Construction a unifying theory of ADHD. Psychological Bulletin, 121, 65-94.
- Barkley, R. A. (1998). Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: A handbook for diagnosis and treatment (2nd ed.). New York: The Guilford Press.
- Gadow, K. D. & Sprafkin, J. (1997). ADHD symptom checklist-4 manual. Stony-Brook, NY: Checkmate Plus, LTD.
- Tannock, R. (1998). Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: Advances in cognitive, neurobiological, and genetic research. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry and Allied Disciplines, 39, 65-99.



Table 1.

Mean Comparisons for ADHD and Typical Groups on Total and Factor Scores.

Questionnaire	ADHD	Typical	Significant
	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Difference
	n = 20	n = 20	
CBCL Attention Scale	73.9 (9.9)	51.2 (2.4)	p<0.0001
SHIP Total Score	48.5 (13.4)	9.2 (7.7)	<u>p</u> <0.0001
ChIEFS Total Score	92.3 (21.5)	26.9 (13.0)	p <0.0001
Factor Scores			
BI	17.3 (4.1)	6.2 (3.1)	p <0.0001
WM	21.5 (6.0)	4.4 (2.6)	p <0.0001
SRE/M	33.5 (6.9)	11.8 (5.8)	<u>o</u> <0.0001
R	9.4 (4.5)	2.1 (2.4)	<u>o</u> <0.0001
MC	10.7 (3.7)	2.5 (1.8) ₁	<u>2</u> <0.0001

Note. BI= Behavioral Inhibition; WM = Working Memory; SRE/M=Self Regulation of Emotion and Motivation; R=Reconstitution; MC=Motor Control. Two sample t-tests were computed with unequal variances assumed.



Table 2.

Correlations Among the SHIP, ChIEFS, and the CBCL Attention Scale.

	Atten Scale		SHI	P ChIEFS BI WM SRE/M R MC
Attention Scale				
SHIP	0.82			·
ChIEFS	0.86	0.92		
BI	0.80	0.92	0.96	
WM	0.87	0.90	0.98	0.92
SRE/M	0.80	0.91	0.97	0.95 0.93
R	0.83	0.78	0.89	0.81 0.89 0.80
MC	0.82	0.81	0.91	0.85 0.86 0.86 0.82

Note: N = 40. All correlations are significant at p <0.01 (2-tailed). BI= Behavioral Inhibition; WM = Working Memory; SRE/M=Self Regulation of Emotion and Motivation; R=Reconstitution; MC=Motor Control.



Table 3.

Percent Correct Group Classification as Determined by Discriminant Analyses

Questionnaire(s)	Pre	Percentage Correct	
	Group Membership		
	ADHD	Typical	
	C/IC	C/IC	
	n = 20	n = 20	
CBCL/Attention Scale	18/2	20/20	95.0
SHIP	17/3	20/20	92.5
ChIEFS	19/1	20/20	97.5
CBCL/Attention*SHIP	19/1	20/20	97.5
CBCL/Attention*ChIEFS	19/1	20/20	97.5
SHIP*ChIEFS	18/2	20/20	95.0
CBCL/Attention*SHIP*ChIEFS	20/20	20/20	100.0

Note. C = Number classified correctly; IC = Number classified incorrectly







U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI) National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)

REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION	:	
Title: Executive Fun	ctioning in Boys	with ADHD
Author(s): Coolding R.S. Les	vandowski, L.J., Bo	rdon, M.
Corporate Source:		Publication Date:
II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:		
abstract journal of the ERIC system, Resources in media, and sold through the ERIC Document Rep granted, one of the following notices is affixed to example the solution of the following notices are approximately approximately and the solution of the following notices are approximately approximat	Education (RIE), are usually made availab roduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given each document.	the educational community, documents announced in the month le to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electroni to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release in the source of the following three options and sign at the bottom
The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents
PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE A DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBER HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	IN PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND MEDIA DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN
TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURINFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	CES TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)
Level 1	Level 2A	Level 2B
Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.	Check here for Level 2A release, permitting re and dissemination in microfiche and in electroni ERIC archival collection subscribers of	c media for and dissemination in microfiche only
	uments will be processed as indicated provided reprodu o reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, document	An of the annual at the state
as indicated above. Reproduction from	n the ERIC microfiche or electronic media b nt holder. Exception is made for non-profit	sive permission to reproduce and disseminate these documents y persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy
Sign here, > Signature Sig	uf	Printed Name/Position/Title: Kobin S. Codding/Imaliate Studen Tetephone: 178 0816 FFX:
please Organization/Address: Syracuse Un 430 Hunting	(versity: Dept. of Bychology	Tetenbene: 478 - 860 FAX: E-Mail Address: Date: 4/c/ Date: 4/c/



III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, *or*, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of these documents from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of these documents. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distribu	tor:	
Address:		
Dian	- <u> </u>	
Price:	••	

IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name:			
	<u> </u>		
Address:			
			£.
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse: ERIC Counseling & Student Services

University of North Carolina at Greensboro

201 Ferguson Building

PO Box 26171

Greensboro, NC 27402-6171

